MADB	RY CITY	Incident:	Incident:								
POL	ICE										
CETTLED 1682		Incident Report	Incident Report Number: Between: Date - Time			And/At: Date-Ti		te-1 ime			
		Incident Locatio	on:		1						
(響	"隱) /										
	.J. /							1			
	Name (Last, Fir.	st, Middle)	·			DC	DB:	Race/Sex			
Address: (Address, 0	Citv. State. Zip)							Phone 1			
	ony, onato, 2.p/										
Employer								Phone 2			
E								Marta Dharra			
Employer Address								Work Phone	•#		
	Name (Last, Firs	st, Middle)				DC	DB:	Race/Sex			
Address: (Address, 0	City, State, Zip)							Phone 1			
Employer								Phone 2			
Employer Address								Work Phone	e #		
NARRAT	IVE										
			Speeding	Comp	laint - Lup	pt	on Ave				
			Dee		+ 0	– –	_				
			Res	slaer	nt Complain	τs	5				
Reside	nt repo	orted ve	hicles sp	eedi	ng on Lupto	on	Ave. Due to	compl	aints		
							installed to				
speed	study o	of the a	rea.								
			0 1				, ,				
							er was deploy				
-							was affixed t de of the str		-		
	-						d and southbo				
	-1										
	Vehic	le Information: (Year,	, Make, Model, Style, Co.	lor)							
License Number:	State	:	Expiration Year:	Vin:		Ī	Insurance Company:				
Other Vehicle Inform	ation:						NCIC#				
Reporting Officer(s):							I		Report Date:		
Time Deceived	1-	Cleared	1 mit/a) A								
Time Received:	lime	Cleared:	Unit(s) Assigned:			Pages:		1	Of 3		
Reviewed by:						Cop	ру То				

Incident Report Number:

Date:

^ -		
CO	ntin	uation

Incident Report Nun	nber
---------------------	------

Incident Location:

Incident Date:

The radar recorder was deployed for a period of 6 days and recorded a total of 9,342 vehicles. The unit was taken down Monday 10/26/2020.

Once complete, the data was downloaded from the recorder and I produced a speed enforcement evaluation sheet, along with a data summary tabulation from the collected data. The speed enforcement evaluator was calibrated for a strict enforcement tolerance of 3, 6 and 10mph. For example, vehicles traveling at 29, 32, and 36mph and above respectively. Please note the speed limit for the residential public roadway is set at 25mph. The following are the results of the data:

Speed Enforcement Evaluator (29mph and above) :

The total percentage of enforceable violations or vehicles traveling over 29mph was found to be **LOW** with 13.0% of the vehicles above the limit (29mph).

Speed Enforcement Evaluator (32mph and above) :

The total percentage of enforceable violations or vehicles traveling over 32mph was found to be LOW with 3.2% of the vehicles above the limit (32mph).

Speed Enforcement Evaluator (36mph and above) :

The total percentage of enforceable violations or vehicles traveling over 36mph was found to be **LOW** with 0.4% of the vehicles above the limit (36mph).

I have attached the Speed Enforcement Evaluator Sheets to this report for reference. The Data Summary revealed the combined speed statistics for both northbound and southbound travel found that 85% of vehicles were traveling at 27mph. It was found that only five (5) vehicles were traveling over 40mph, out of 9,342 which equates to less than 0.1% of total vehicular traffic. The summary also revealed that 4,151 vehicles were found to be traveling 21-25mph. This is the largest grouping of vehicles within the study.

It was found that there is not a speeding issue currently at the target location. The results revealed that the complaining resident may be observing a volume issue for the size of the residential street, with the occasional vehicle speeding. It should be noted that the volume of traffic could also be a result of vehicles using this street as a way to parallel S. Broad St. traffic, as it tends to accumulate heavy volume

Pages:

	ntinuation	
Incident Report Number	Incident Location:	Incident Date:
mid-day. The	study determined the best times for potential enfo	orcement
were weekdays	s from 1200-1600 hours and weekends from 0900-1300	hours.

Ptl. J. Wills #3043 Woodbury City Police Department Traffic Safety Unit