| | | Continuation |
|-----------------------|---|---|
| ncident Report Number | Incident Location: | Incident Date: |
| | | |
| | the data from the recorder and produced a Speed Enfo | |
| | | rance set at 6 MPH or a vehicle speed of 32 MPH, as well |
| following are the | ment Evaluator with an Enforcement Tolerance set at 1 | o ivirn, or a verticle speed of 30 ivirn and above. The |
| Sneed Enforceme | t Evaluator (32 MPH and above): | |
| · | · | |
| the limit (32MPH) | ge of Enforceable Violations (Vehicles traveling over 32 l | MPH) was found to be LOW with 3.4% of vehicles above |
| | t Evaluator (36 MPH and above): | |
| The total percenta | ge of Enforceable Violations (Vehicles traveling over 36 I | MPH) was found to be LOW with 0.6% of vehicles above |
| the limit (36MPH) | | |
| | | |
| I have attached th | Speed Enforcement Evaluator Sheets to this report for | reference. |
| I then produced th | e Data Summary for the Traffic Study. The combined spe | and statistics (for Easthound and Westhound traffic) |
| • | e Data Summary for the Tramic Study. The combined spe verage Mean Speed of vehicles was 22 MPH. It was fou | |
| | of 2.870 vehicles | , |

MPH, out of a total of 3,879 vehicles.

It was found that there is not a speeding issue at the target location. Progress Avenue is a rather narrow roadway with vehicles parked on both sides the majority of the block. The results reveal that the complaining resident may be observing a volume issue for the size of the residential street, with the occasional vehicle traveling above 40 MPH (4 vehicles out of 3,879, about 0.1%). I have also attached the Data Summary Sheets to this report for reference.

Ptlm. J. Duffy #3100

Woodbury City Police Department

Traffic Safety Unit

| Reporting Officer(s): | Pages: | | |
|-----------------------|--------|------|--|
| | 2 0 |)f 2 | |